(IJISE) 2019, Vol. No. 9, Jan-Jun

# COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR THREE SELFMAPS OF A COMPLETE D\*- METRIC SPACE

#### Upender. S

Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Nagarjuna Government College (Autonomous), Nalgonda-508001, India

## **ABSTRACT**

Suppose  $(X, D^*)$  is a  $D^*$ - metric space and P, Q and T are selfmaps of X. If these three maps and the space X satisfy certain conditions, we shall prove that they have a unique common fixed point in this paper. As a consequence we deduce a common fixed point theorem for three selfmaps of a complete  $D^*$ - metric space. Further, we show that a common fixed point theorem for three selfmaps of a metric space proved by S. E. Singh and E and E is E in E

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

**Key Words**: D\*-metric space; Compatible; Fixed point theorem

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The study of contractive type conditions through metric spaces in fixed point theory plays vital role because it finds many applications in different areas like differential equations, integral equations, game theory, operational research and mathematical economics.

Different mathematicians tried to generalize the usual notion of metric space (X, d). In 1992 Dhage [2] has initiated the study of generalized metric space called D- metric space and fixed point theorems for selfmaps of such spaces. Later researchers have made a significant contribution to fixed point of D- metric spaces in [1], [3], and [4]. Unfortunately almost all the fixed point theorems proved on D-metric spaces are not valid in view of papers [5], [6] and [7].

Recently Shaban Sedghi, Nabi Shobe and Haiyun Zhou [8], have introduced D\*- metric spaces as a probable modification of D- metric spaces and proved some fixed point theorems.

**Definition 1.1 ([8]):** Let X be a non-empty set. A function  $D^*: X^3 \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be a **generalized metric** or **D\*-metric** or **G-metric** on X, if it satisfies the following conditions

- (i)  $D *(x, y, z) \ge 0$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .
- (ii) D \*(x, y, z) = 0 if and only if x = y = z.
- (iii)  $D *(x, y, z) = D *(\sigma (x, y, z))$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$

where  $\sigma(x, y, z)$  is any permutation of the set  $\{x, y, z\}$ .

(IJISE) 2019, Vol. No. 9, Jan-Jun

e-ISSN: 2454-6402, p-ISSN: 2454-812X

(iv) 
$$D^*(x, y, z) \le D^*(x, y, w) + D^*(w, z, z)$$
 for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$ .

The pair  $(X, D^*)$ , where  $D^*$  is a generalized metric on X is called a **D\*-metric space** or a **generalized metric space**.

**Example 1. 2:** Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define  $D_1^*: X^3 \to [0, \infty)$  by

 $D_1*(x, y, z) = \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x)\}$  for x, y, z  $\in X$ . Then  $(X, D_1*)$  is a generalized metric space.

**Example 1.3:** Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define  $D_2^*: X^3 \to [0, \infty)$  by

 $D_2*(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(y, z) + d(z, x)$  for  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $(X, D_2*)$  is a generalized metric space.

**Example 1.4:** Let X = R, define  $D^*: R^3 \to [0, \infty)$  by

$$D^*(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y = z \\ \max \{x, y, z\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then (R, D \*) is a generalized metric space.

**Note 1.5:** Using the inequality in (iv) and (ii) of Definition 1.1, one can prove that if  $(X, D^*)$  is a  $D^*$ -metric space, then  $D^*(x, x, y) = D^*(x, y, y)$  for all  $x, y, \in X$ .

Infact 
$$D *(x, x, y) \le D *(x, x, x) + D *(x, y, y) = D *(x, y, y)$$
 and

$$D *(y, y, x) \le D *(y, y, y) + D *(y, x, x) = D *(y, x, x),$$
 proving the inequity.

**Definition 1.6:** Let  $(X, D^*)$  be a  $D^*$ -metric space. For  $x \in X$  and r > 0, the set  $B_{D^*}(x, r) = \{y \in X; D^*(x, y, y) < r\}$  is called the **open ball** of radius r about x.

For example, if X = R and  $D^*: R^3 \to [0, \infty)$  is defined by

$$D^*(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$$
 for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . Then

$$\begin{split} B_{D^*}(0, 1) &= \{ y \in R; \, D^*(0, y, y) < 1 \} \\ &= \{ y \in R; \, 2 | \, y \, | < 1 \} \\ &= \{ y \in R; \, | \, y \, | < \frac{1}{2} \} = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}). \end{split}$$

**Definition 1.7:** Let  $(X, D^*)$  be a  $D^*$ -metric space and  $E \subset X$ .

- (i) If for every  $x \in E$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $B_{D^*}(x, \delta) \subset E$ , then E is said to be an **open subset** of X
- (ii) If there is a k > 0 such that  $D^*(x, y, y) < k$  for all  $x, y \in E$  then E is said to be  $D^*$ —bounded. It has been observed in [9] that, if  $\tau$  is the set of all open sets in  $(X, D^*)$ ,

(IJISE) 2019, Vol. No. 9, Jan-Jun

then  $\tau$  is a topology on X (called the **topology induced by the D\*-metric**) and also proved that  $B_{D^*}(x, r)$  is an open set for each  $x \in X$  and r > 0 ([8], Lemma 1.5). If  $(X, \tau)$  is a compact topological space we shall call  $(X, D^*)$  is a **compact**  $D^*$ -metric space.

**Definition 1.8:** Let  $(X, D^*)$  be a  $D^*$ -metric space. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to

- (i) **converge to x** if  $D *(x_n, x_n, x) = D *(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$
- (ii) be a Cauchy sequence, if to each  $\in > 0$ , there is a natural number  $n_0$  such that  $D^*(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \in$  for all  $m, n \ge n_0$ .

It is easy to see (infact proved in [8], Lemma 1.8 and Lemma 1.9) that, if  $\{x_n\}$  converges to x in(X,  $D^*$ ) then x is unique and that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, D^*)$ . However, a Cauchy sequence in a  $(X, D^*)$  need not be convergent as shown in the example given below.

**Example 1.9:** Let X = (0, 1] and  $D^*(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$  for  $x, y, z \in X$ , so that  $(X, D^*)$  is a  $D^*$ -metric space.

Define 
$$x_n = \frac{1}{n}$$
 for  $n = 1, 2, 3$  ....., then  $D *(x_n, x_n, x_m) = 2 |x_n - x_m| = 2 |\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}|$ , so that

D \*(  $x_n$ ,  $x_n$ ,  $x_m$ )  $\to$  0 as m, n  $\to \infty$ , proving  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in (X, D\*). Clearly  $\{x_n\}$  does not converge to any point in X.

**Definition 1.10**: A D\*–metric space  $(X, D^*)$  is said to **complete** if every Cauchy sequence in it converges to some point in it.

It follows that the D\*-metric space given in Example 1.9 is not complete.

**Note 1.11:** We have seen (In Example 1.2 and Example 1.3) that on any metric space (X, d) it is possible to define at least two D\*-metrics, namely  $D_1^*$  and  $D_2^*$ , using the metric d. We shall call  $D_1^*$  and  $D_2^*$  as D\*-metrics induced by d. Thus every metric space (X, d) gives rise to at least two D\*-metric spaces  $(X, D_1^*)$  and  $(X, D_2^*)$ . Also if  $(X, D^*)$  is a D\*-metric then defining  $d_0(x, y) = D^*(x, y, y)$  for  $x, y \in X$ , we can show easily that  $(X, d_0)$  is a metric space and we shall call  $d_0$  as a metric induced by  $D^*$ .

The following result is of use for our discussion.

**Theorem 1.12**: Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $D_i * (i = 1, 2)$  be the two  $D^*$ - metrics induced by d (given in Example 1.2 and Example 1.3). For any i (= 1, 2) a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $(X, D_i *)$  is a Cauchy sequence if and only if  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d).

**Proof:** - First note that for i = 1, 2 we have

$$d(x, y) \le D_i *(x, y, y) \le 2d(x, y)$$
 for all  $x, y \in X$ .

(IJISE) 2019, Vol. No. 9, Jan-Jun

Now the theorem follows immediately in view of the above inequality.

For example, if  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d), then for any given  $\in > 0$  choose a natural number  $n_0$  such that m,  $n \ge n_0$  implies  $d(x_m, x_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ ; and note that for the same  $n_0$  we have m,  $n \ge n_0$  implies  $D_i *(x_m, x_n, x_n) \le 2d(x_m x_n) < \varepsilon$ ,

proving that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, D_i^*)$ .

Similarly the other part of the theorem can be proved using the other inequality noted in the beginning of the proof.

**Corollary1.13:** Suppose (X, d) is a metric space. Let  $D_1$  \* and  $D_2$  \* be two  $D^*$ - metrics induced by d, then for any i (=1, 2) the space  $(X, D_i)$  is complete if and only if (X, d) is complete.

**Proof:** - Follows from Theorem 1.12.

**Definition 1.14:** If  $(X, D^*)$  is a  $D^*$ -metric space, then  $D^*$  is a **continuous function** on  $X^3$ , in the sense that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} D^*(x_n, y_n, z_n) = D^*(x, y, z)$ , whenever  $\{(x_n, y_n, z_n)\}$  in  $X^3$  converges to  $(x, y, z) \in X^3$ . Equivalently,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x, \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = y, \lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = z \iff \lim_{n\to\infty} D^*(x_n, y_n, z_n) = D^*(x, y, z).$$

**Notation:** For any selfmap T of X, we denote T(x) by Tx.

If S and T are selfmaps of a set X, then any  $z \in X$  such that Sz = Tz = z is called a **common fixed point** of S and T.

Two selfmaps S and T of X are said to be **commutative** if ST = TS where ST is their composition SoT defined by (SoT) x = STx for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 1.15**: Suppose S and T are selfmaps of a D\*-metric space  $(X, D^*)$  satisfying the condition  $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$ . Then for any  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $Tx_0 \in T(X)$  and hence  $Tx_0 \in S(X)$ , so that there is a  $x_1 \in X$  with  $Tx_0 = Sx_1$ , since  $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$ . Now  $Tx_1 \in T(X)$  and hence there is a  $x_2 \in X$  with  $Tx_2 \in T(X) \subseteq S(X)$  so that  $Tx_1 = Sx_2$ . Again  $Tx_2 \in T(X)$  and hence  $Tx_2 \in S(X)$  with  $Tx_2 = Sx_3$ . Thus repeating this process to each  $x_0 \in X$ , we get a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $Tx_n = Sx_{n+1}$  for  $n \ge 0$ . We shall call this sequence as an **associated sequence of**  $x_0$  **relative to the two selfmaps S and T.** It may be noted that there may be more than one associated sequence for a point  $x_0 \in X$  relative to selfmaps S and T.

Let S and T are selfmaps of a D\*-metric space  $(X, D^*)$  such that  $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$ . For any  $x_0 \in X$ , if  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in X such that  $Tx_n = Sx_{n+1}$  for  $n \ge 0$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is called an **associated sequence** of  $x_0$  relative to the two selfmaps S and T.

**Definition 1.16:** A function  $\emptyset$ :  $[0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be a **contractive modulus**, if  $\emptyset(0) = 0$  and  $\emptyset(t) < t$  for t > 0.

(IJISE) 2019, Vol. No. 9, Jan-Jun

**Definition 1.17**: A real valued function  $\emptyset$  defined on  $X \subseteq R$  is said to be **upper semi continuous**, if  $\limsup \emptyset(t_n) \leq \emptyset$  (t) for every sequence  $\{t_n\}$  in X with  $t_n \to t$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Definition 1.18:** If S and T are selfmaps of a D\*-metric space  $(X, D^*)$  such that for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = t$ , we have

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} D^*(STx_n, TSx_n, TSx_n) = 0$ , then we say that S and T are **compatible** 

## 2. THE MAIN RESULTS:

- **2. 1 Theorem:** Let P, Q and T are selfmaps of a D\*- metric space (X, D\*) satisfying the conditions
  - (i)  $P(X) \cup Q(X) \subseteq T(X)$
  - (ii)  $D^*(Px, Qy, Qy) \le \emptyset (\lambda(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$

where  $\emptyset$  is an upper semi continuous and contractive modulus

and

(ii)' 
$$\lambda(x, y) = \max \{D^*(Tx, Ty, Ty), D^*(Px, Tx, Tx), D^*(Qy, Ty, Ty), \frac{1}{2}[D^*(Px, Ty, Ty) + D^*(Qy, Tx, Tx)]\}$$

- (iii) either (P, T) or (Q, T) are compatible pair and
- (iv) T is continuous

Further, if

(v) there is a point  $x_0 \in X$  and an associated sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $x_0$  relative to the three selfmaps such that the sequence  $Px_0, Qx_1, Px_2, Qx_3, \ldots, Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, \ldots$  converge to some point  $z \in X$ ,

then P, Q and T have a unique common fixed point  $z \in X$ .

Before we give the proof of theorem, we establish some lemmas.

- **2.1.1 Lemma:** Suppose P, Q and T are selfmaps of a D\*- metric space  $(X, D^*)$  satisfying the conditions (i), (ii), (iv) and (v) of theorem 2.1. Then for any associated sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $x_0$  relative to P, Q and T we have
  - (a)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda(Tx_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = D^*(z, Tz, Tz)$  if (P, T) is compatible and
  - (b)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda \left(x_{2n,} Tx_{2n+1}\right) = D^*(z, Tz, Tz)$  if (Q, T) is compatible

(IJISE) 2019, Vol. No. 9, Jan-Jun

**Proof:** Since by (v), each of the sequences  $\{Px_{2n}\}$  and  $\{Qx_{2n+1}\}$  converge to  $z \in X$  and since  $Px_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$  and  $Qx_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+2}$  for  $n \ge 0$ , we have

(2. 1. 2) 
$$Px_{2n}$$
,  $Qx_{2n+1}$ ,  $Tx_{2n}$ ,  $Tx_{2n+1} \to z$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Now since T is continuous, we have

(2.1.3) 
$$TPx_{2n} \rightarrow Tz$$
,  $T^2x_{2n} \rightarrow Tz$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ 

(a) If the pair the pair (P, T) is compatible, we have

(2.1.4) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} D * (PTx_{2n}, TPx_{2n}, TPx_{2n}) = 0$$

since  $Px_{2n}$ ,  $Tx_{2n} \rightarrow z$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by (2. 1. 2).

Now, in view of (2. 1. 3) and (2. 1. 4), we get

(2.1.5) 
$$PTx_{2n} \rightarrow Tz \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$
.

Also, from (ii)', we have

(2. 1. 6) 
$$\lambda(Tx_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = \max \{D^*(T^2x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), D^*(PTx_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}), D^*(PTx_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}), D^*(PTx_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}), D^*(PTx_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}), D^*(PTx_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}), D^*(PTx_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n},$$

$$D^*(Qx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}), \frac{1}{2}\left[D^*(PTx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}) + D^*(Qx_{2n+1},\,T^2x_{2n},\,T^2x_{2n})\right]\}$$

Letting n to  $\infty$  in (2. 1. 6) and using the continuity of D\*, (2. 1. 2), (2. 1. 3), (2. 1. 4) and (2. 1. 5) we get

$$\begin{split} \lim_{n\to\infty}\,\lambda\!\!\left(Tx_{2n,}\,x_{2n+1}\,\right) &= \text{max } \{D^*\!\!\left(Tz,\,z,\,z\right)\!,\,D^*\!\!\left(Tz,\,Tz,\,Tz\right)\!,\,D^*\!\!\left(z,\,z,\,z\right)\!,\\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}\left[D^*\!\!\left(Tz,\,z,\,z\,\right) + D^*\!\!\left(\,z,\,Tz,\,Tz\right)\right]\,\} \end{split}$$

$$= D*(z, Tz, Tz).$$

**(b)** If the pair the pair (Q, T) is compatible, we have

(2. 1. 7) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} D * (TQx_{2n+1}, QTx_{2n+1}, QTx_{2n+1}) = 0$$

in view of (2. 1. 2). Also since T is continuous, we have again by (2. 1. 2),

(2. 1. 8) 
$$T^2x_{2n+1} \rightarrow Tz$$
 and  $TQx_{2n+1} \rightarrow Tz$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now, in view of (2. 1. 7) and (2. 1. 8), we get

(2. 1. 9) 
$$QTx_{2n+1} \to Tz \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
.

Now, from (ii)', we have

(2. 1. 10) 
$$\lambda(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) = \max \{D^*(Tx_{2n}, T^2x_{2n+1}, T^2x_{2n+1}), D^*(Px_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}), \}$$

(IJISE) 2019, Vol. No. 9, Jan-Jun

$$D^*(QTx_{2n+1},\,T^2x_{2n+1},\,T^2x_{2n+1}), \frac{1}{2}\left[D^*(Px_{2n},\,T^2x_{2n+1},\,T^2x_{2n+1}) + D^*(QTx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n})\right]\}$$

Now letting n to  $\infty$  in (2. 1. 10) and using the continuity of D\*, (2. 1. 2), (2. 1. 8) and (2. 1. 9), we get  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda \big(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}\big) = \max \{D^*(z, Tz, Tz), D^*(z, z, z), D^*(Tz, Tz, Tz), \frac{1}{2}[D^*(z, Tz, Tz) + D^*(z, Tz, Tz)]\}$ 

= D\*(z, Tz, Tz). Hence the lemma.

**2. 2 Prof of Theorem 2. 1:** In this section we first prove the existence of a common fixed point in the two cases of the condition (iii) in Theorem 2. 1.

Case (I). First suppose that the pair (P, T) is compatible. Then from (ii), we have

(2. 2. 1) 
$$D^*(PTx_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1}) \le \emptyset (\lambda(Tx_{2n}, x_{2n+1}))$$

In which on letting n to  $\infty$  using Lemma 2. 1. 1, and the continuity of D\*, we get

(2. 2. 2) 
$$D^*(Tz, z, z) \le \emptyset (D^*(Tz, z, z))$$

and this leads to a contradiction if  $Tz \neq z$ . Therefore Tz = z.

Again, from condition (ii), we have

(2. 2. 3) 
$$D^*(Pz, Qx_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1}) \le \emptyset (\lambda(z, x_{2n+1}))$$
. But

$$\lambda(z, x_{2n+1}) = \max \{D^*(Tz, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), D^*(Pz, Tz, Tz),$$

$$D^*(Qx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \frac{1}{2} [D^*(Pz, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + D^*(Qx_{2n+1}, Tz, Tz)] \}$$

which on letting n to  $\infty$  and the use of continuity of D\* imply

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\lambda(z,\ x_{2n+1})=D^*(z,Pz,Pz),$$

Now letting n to  $\infty$  in (2. 2. 3), we get by the continuity of D\* that

(2. 2. 4) 
$$D^*(Pz, z, z) \le \emptyset (D^*(Pz, z, z))$$

and this leads to a contradiction if  $Pz \neq z$ . Therefore Pz = z.

Now again, from condition (ii), we have

(2. 2. 5) 
$$D^*(Px_{2n}, Qz, Qz) \leq \emptyset (\lambda(x_{2n}, z))$$
. But

$$\lambda(x_{2n}, z) = \max \{D^*(Tx_{2n}, Tz, Tz), D^*(Px_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}), \}$$

$$D^*(Qz, Tz, Tz), \frac{1}{2}[D^*(Px_{2n}, Tz, Tz) + D^*(Qz, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n})]$$

in which on letting n to  $\infty$  and the continuity of D\*, we get

(IJISE) 2019, Vol. No. 9, Jan-Jun

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda(x_{2n}, z) = D^*(z, Qz, Qz), \text{ since } Px_{2n} \to z, Tx_{2n} \to z \text{ as } n \to \infty. \text{ Then } (2.2.5) \text{ gives}$ 

(2. 2. 6) 
$$D^*(z, Qz, Qz) \le \emptyset$$
 ( $D^*(z, Qz, Qz)$ )

and this will give a contradiction if  $Qz \neq z$ . Therefore Qz = z.

Hence z = Pz = Qz = Tz, showing that z is a common fixed point of P, Q and T.

Case (ii): Suppose that the pair (Q, T) is compatible, then from (ii), we have

(2. 2. 7) 
$$D^*(Px_{2n}, QTx_{2n+1}, QTx_{2n+1}) \le \emptyset (\lambda(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}))$$

in which on letting n to  $\infty$  using Lemma 2. 1. 1, (2. 1. 9), and the continuity of D\*, we get

(2. 2. 8) 
$$D^*(z, Tz, Tz) \le \emptyset (D^*(z, Tz, Tz))$$

and this will be a contradiction if  $Tz \neq z$ . Therefore Tz = z.

Again, from condition (ii), we have

(2. 2. 9) D\*(Pz, 
$$Qx_{2n+1}$$
,  $Qx_{2n+1}$ )  $\leq \emptyset$  ( $\lambda(z, x_{2n+1})$ ). But

$$\lambda(z, x_{2n+1}) = \max \{D^*(Tz, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), D^*(Pz, Tz, Tz),$$

$$D^*(Qx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), \frac{1}{2}[D^*(Pz, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + D^*(Qx_{2n+1}, Tz, Tz)]\}$$

so that 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda(z, x_{2n+1}) = D^*(z, Pz, Pz),$$

Therefore, from (2. 2. 9), we have

(2. 2. 10) 
$$D^*(Pz, z, z) \le \emptyset (D^*(Pz, z, z))$$

and this leads to a contradiction if  $Pz \neq z$ . Therefore Pz = z.

Again, from condition (ii), we have

(2. 2. 11) 
$$D^*(Px_{2n}, Qz, Qz) \le \emptyset$$
 ( $\lambda(x_{2n}, z)$ ). But

$$\lambda(x_{2n}, z) = \max \{D^*(Tx_{2n}, Tz, Tz), D^*(Px_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}), \}$$

$$D^*(Qz,\,Tz,\,Tz), \tfrac{1}{2}\left[D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tz,\,Tz) + D^*(Qz,\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n})\right]\}$$

in which on letting n to  $\infty$  we get  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\lambda(x_{2n},\ z)=D^*(z,Qz,Qz),$ 

since 
$$Px_{2n} \rightarrow z$$
,  $Tx_{2n} \rightarrow z$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $Pz = z = Tz$ ,

Then (2. 2. 11) gives

(2. 2. 12) 
$$D^*(z, Qz, Qz) \le \emptyset (D^*(z, Qz, Qz))$$

(IJISE) 2019, Vol. No. 9, Jan-Jun

and this will give a contradiction if  $Qz \neq z$ . Therefore Qz = z.

Hence z = Pz = Qz = Tz, showing that z is a common fixed point of P, Q and T

Now, we prove the **uniqueness** of the common fixed point. If possible, let z' be another common fixed point of P, Q and T. Then from condition (ii), we have

(2. 2. 13) 
$$D^*(z, z', z') = D^*(Pz, Qz', Qz') \le \Phi(\lambda(z, z'))$$
. But

 $\lambda(z, z') = \max \{D^*(Tz, Tz', Tz'), D^*(Pz, Tz, Tz), D^*(Qz', Tz', Tz'),$ 

$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 [D\*(Pz, Tz', Tz') + D\*(Qz', Tz, Tz)]}

= D\*(z, z', z'). Therefore (2. 2. 13) gives

(2. 2. 14)  $D^*(z, z', z') \le \Phi(D^*(z, z', z'))$  and this will be contradiction if  $z \ne z'$ . Therefore z = z'. Thus z is the unique common fixed point of P, Q and T.

Thus the Theorem 2. 1 is completely proved.

## 2.3 A Common Fixed Point Theorem for Three Selfmaps of a Complete D\*- metric space:

Before we prove the main result of this section, we prove the following lemma:

- **2.3.1 Lemma:** Let  $(X, D^*)$  be a  $D^*$  metric space and P, Q and T be selfmaps of X such that
- (i)  $P(X) \cup Q(X) \subseteq T(X)$
- (ii)  $D^*(Px, Qy, Qy) \le c$ .  $\lambda(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$

where  $0 \le c \le$  and  $\lambda(x, y)$  is as defined in (ii)' of Theorem 2. 1

Further, if

(iii) (X, D\*) is complete.

Then for any  $x_0 \in X$  and for any of its associated sequence  $\{x_n\}$  relative to the three selfmaps, the sequence  $Px_0, Qx_1, Px_2, Qx_3, \dots, Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, \dots$  converges to some  $z \in X$ .

**Proof:** Suppose P, Q and T be selfmaps of a D\*-metric space  $(X, D^*)$  for which the conditions (i) and (ii) hold. Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\{x_n\}$  be an associated sequence of  $x_0$  relative to three selfmaps. Then, since  $Px_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$  and  $Qx_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+2}$  for  $n \ge 0$ . Note that

$$\lambda(x_{2n},\,x_{2n+1}) = \max \ \{D^*(Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n}),\,D^*(Qx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n}),\,D^*(Qx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n}),\,D^*(Qx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n}),\,D^*(Qx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n}),\,D^*(Qx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n}),\,D^*(Qx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n}),\,D^*(Qx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n}),\,D^*(Qx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n}),\,D^*(Qx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left[D^*(Px_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1})+D^*(QTx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n})\right]\}$$

=  $\max \{D^*(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), D^*(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}), D^*(Tx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), D^*(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), D^*(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), D^*(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), D^*(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), D^*(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{$ 

(IJISE) 2019, Vol. No. 9, Jan-Jun

e-ISSN: 2454-6402, p-ISSN: 2454-812X

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2}\left[D^*(Tx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1})+D^*(Tx_{2n+2},Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n})\right]\} &=\\ &\max \; \{D^*(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1}),D^*(Tx_{2n+2},Tx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1}),\frac{1}{2}\,D^*(Tx_{2n+2},Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n})\} \end{split}$$
 
$$&= \max \; \{D^*(Tx_{2n+2},Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n})+D^*(Tx_{2n+2},Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n})\}$$
 
$$&\leq \max \; \{D^*(Tx_{2n+2},Tx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1})+D^*(Tx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n})\}$$

 $\lambda(x_{2n},\,x_{2n+1}) \leq max \, \left\{ \, \, D^*(Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1}),\, D^*(Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+2},\,Tx_{2n+2}) \right\}$ 

Now by (ii)

$$\begin{split} D^*(Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+2},\,Tx_{2n+2}) &= D^*(Px_{2n},\,Qx_{2n+1},\,qx_{2n+1}) &\leq c.\,\,\lambda(x_{2n},\,x_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq c.\,\,\,max\,\,\{\,\,D^*(Tx_{2n},\,Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+1}),\,\,\,D^*(Tx_{2n+1},\,Tx_{2n+2},\,Tx_{2n+2})\}. \end{split}$$

Since  $0 \le c < 1$ , it follows from that the

$$\max \{ D^*(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}), D^*(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+2}) \} = D^*(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})$$

Therefore 
$$D^*(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n+2}) \le c.$$
  $D^*(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})$  .......(A)

Similarly, we can prove

$$D^*(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) \le c. D^*(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) \dots (B)$$

From (A) and (B), we get

Since  $c^{2n} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  (because c < 1), the sequence  $\{Tx_n\}$  and hence  $Px_0, Qx_1, Px_2, Qx_3, \ldots, Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}, \ldots$  is a Cauchy sequence in the complete space  $(X, D^*)$  and therefore converges to a point say  $z \in X$ , proving lemma.

- **2.3.2 Remark**: The converse of lemma is not true. That is, suppose P, Q and T are selfmaps of a D\*-metric space  $(X, D^*)$  satisfying condition (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.3.1. Even, if for each  $x_0 \in X$  and for each associated sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $x_0$  relative to P, Q and T, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $\{x_n\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  need not complete.
- **2. 3. 3 Theorem:** Suppose  $(X, D^*)$  is a  $D^*$ -metric space satisfying conditions (i) to (iv) of Theorem 2. 1. Further, if  $(v)'(X, D^*)$  is complete

then P, Q and T have a unique common fixed point  $z \in X$ .

(IJISE) 2019, Vol. No. 9, Jan-Jun

e-ISSN: 2454-6402, p-ISSN: 2454-812X

**Proof:** In view of Lemma 2.3.1 the condition (v) of Theorem 2.1 holds as view of (v)'.

Hence the Theorem follows from Theorem 2.1.

**2.3.4 Corollary** ([9]): Let P, Q and T be selfmaps of a metric space (X, d) such that

- (i)  $P(X) \cup Q(X) \subseteq T(X)$
- (ii)  $d(Px, Qy) \le c \lambda_0(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , where
- (ii)'  $\lambda_0(x, y) = \max \{d(Tx, Ty), d(Px, Tx), d(Qy, Ty), \frac{1}{2}[d(Px, Ty) + d(Qy, Sx)]\}$  and  $0 \le c < 1$
- T is continuous, (iii)
- PT = TP and QT = TQ(iv) Further, if
- (v) X is complete

Then P, Q and T have a unique common fixed point in  $z \in X$ .

**Proof**: Given (X, d) is a metric space satisfying condition (i) to (v) of the corollary. If  $D_1*(x, y, z) = \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(z, x), \text{ then } (X, D_1*) \text{ is a D*-metric space and } \}$  $D_1*(x,$ y, x = d(x, y). Therefore condition (ii) can be written as  $D_1*(Px, Qy, Qy) \le c$ .  $\lambda(x, y)$  for all x,  $y \in X \text{ where } \lambda(x, y) = \max \{D_1^*(Tx, Ty, Ty), D_1^*(Px, Tx, Tx), D_1^*(Qy, Jy, Jy), \}$ 

$$\frac{1}{2}[D_1*(Px,Ty,Ty)+D_1*(Qy,Tx,Tx)]\}$$

which is the same as condition (ii) of Theorem 2.3.3. Also since (X, d) is complete, we have  $(X, D_1^*)$  is complete by Corollary 1.13.

Now, P, Q and T are selfmaps on  $(X, D_1^*)$  satisfying conditions of Theorem 2.3.3 and hence the corollary follows.

#### **References:**

Ahmad, B., Ashraf, M., & Rhoades, B. E. (2001). Fixed point theorems for expansive mappings in D-metric spaces. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, \*32\*(10), 1513-1518.

Dhage, B. C. (1992). Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point. Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society, \*84\*(4), 329–336.

(IJISE) 2019, Vol. No. 9, Jan-Jun

- Dhage, B. C. (1999). A common fixed point principle in D-metric spaces. *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, \*91\*(6), 475–480.
- Dhage, B. C., Pathan, A. M., & Rhoades, B. E. (2000). A general existence principle for fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, \*23\*(7), 441–448. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171200001587">https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171200001587</a>
- Naidu, S. V. R., Rao, K. P. R., & Srinivasa Rao, N. (2004). On the topology of D-metric spaces and generation of D-metric spaces from metric spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, \*2004\*(51), 2719–2740. https://doi.org/10.1155/S0161171204311257
- Naidu, S. V. R., Rao, K. P. R., & Srinivasa Rao, N. (2005). On the concepts of balls in a D-metric space. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, \*2005\*(1), 133–141. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1155/IJMMS.2005.133">https://doi.org/10.1155/IJMMS.2005.133</a>
- Naidu, S. V. R., Rao, K. P. R., & Srinivasa Rao, N. (2005). On convergent sequences and fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical*Sciences, \*2005\*(12), 1969–1988. https://doi.org/10.1155/IJMMS.2005.1969
- Sedghi, S., Shobe, N., & Zhou, H. (2007). A common fixed point theorem in D\*-metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, \*2007\*, Article ID 027906. https://doi.org/10.1155/2007/27906
- Singh, S. L., & Singh, S. P. (1980). A fixed point theorem. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, \*11\*(12), 1584–1586.